1	James Wheaton		
2	Lowell Chow FIRST AMENDMENT PROJECT		
3	1736 Franklin Street, 9th floor Oakland, California 94612		
4	Phone: 510/208-7744 Fax: 510/208-4562		
5	Mary Lou Boelcke		
6	LAW OFFICES OF MARY LOUISE BOELCK PO Box 31033	E	
7	Albuquerque, NM 87190-1033 Phone: (505) 804-1632		
8	Fax: (505) 232-9718		
9	William Simpich LAW OFFICE WILLIAM SIMPICH		
10	1736 Franklin Street, 10th Floor Oakland, California 94612		
11	Phone: 510/444-0226		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Philip Mocek		
13			
14	LINITED STATI	FS DISTRICT COURT	
15			
16	TOR THE DISTR	ICT OF NEW WILKIEG	
17	PHILLIP MOCEK,	No. 1:11-cv-01009-BB-KBM	
18	Plaintiffs,	[AMENDED] TABLE OF	
19	·	AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF	
20	V.	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' MOTION	
21	CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE, ALBUQUERQUE AVIATION POLICE	TO DISMISS	
22	DEPARTMENT, MARSHALL KATZ, in his official capacity as Chief of Police of the		
23	Albuquerque Aviation Police Department, JONATHAN BREEDON, GERALD		
	ROMERO, ANTHONY SCHREINER, ROBERT F. DILLEY a/k/a BOBBY		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	DILLEY, LANDRA WIGGINS, JULIO DE LA PENA, and DOES 1–25, inclusive,		
25 26	Defendants,		
26 <u> </u> 27			
28	TARLE OF	AUTHORITIES:	

1	Cases:	Page:
2	Allen v. Wright, 468 U.S. 737(1984)	22
3	Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. at 678	16
4	Arizona v. Johnson, 555 U.S. 323 (2009)	12
5	Ashcroft v. al-Kidd, 131 S. Ct. 2074 (2011)	10
6	Beard v. City of Northglenn, 24 F.3d 110 (10th Cir. 1994)	20
7 8	Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007)	16
9	Bergstralh v. Lowe, 504 F.2d 1276 (9th Cir.1974)	17
10	Branch v. Tunnell (I), 937 F.2d at 1382 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir.)(1991)	18
11	Branzburg v. Hayes, 408 U.S. 665 (1972)	6
12	Caballero v. City of Concord, 956 F.2d 204 (9th Cir.1992)	18
13	Calhoun v. Gaines, 982 F.2d 1470 (10th Cir. 1999)	14
14	Casey v. City of Federal Heights, 509 F.3d 1278 (10th Cir. 2007)	11
15 16	CBS Inc. v. Young, 522 F.2d 234 (6th Cir. 1975)	7
17	Dellums v. Powell, 566 F.2d 167 (D.C. Cir. 1977)	6
18	DeLoach v. Bevers, 922 F.2d 618 (10th Cir.1990)	5
19	Dombrowski v. Pfister, 380 U.S. 479 (1965)	22
20	First Nat'l Bank v. Bellotti, 435 U.S. 765 (1978)	6
21	Foote v. Spiegel, 118 F.3d 1416 (10th Cir. 1997)	16
22   23	Fordyce v. City of Seattle, 55 F.3d 436 (9th Cir. 1995)	11
24	Fuller v. M.G. Jewelry, 950 F.2d 1437 (9th Cir.1991)	20
25	Gibson v. United States, 781 F.2d 1334 (9th Cir.1986), cert. denied, 479 U.S.	7
26	1054, 107 S.Ct. 928, 93 L.Ed.2d 979 (1987)	
27	Gilles v. Davis, 427 F.3d 197 (3d Cir. 2005)	11
28	Glik v. Cunniffe, 655 F.3d 78 (1st Cir. 2011)  TABLE OF AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' I DISMISS	11-13 MOTION TO

1	Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court, 457 U.S. 596 (1982)	6
2	Graham v. Connor, 490 U.S. 386, 109 S.Ct. 1865, 104 L.Ed.2d 443 (1989)	18
3	Green v. Nocciaro, 676 F.3d 748 (8th Cir. 2012)	20
4	Hodge v. Lynd, 88 F. Supp. 1232 (D. N.M. 2000)	17
5	Houchins v. KQED, Inc., 438 U.S. 1, 11 (1978)	6
7	Hudgens v. NLRB, 424 U.S. 507, 96 S.Ct. 1029 147 L.Ed.2d 196 (1976)	13
8	Hunter v. Bryant, 502 U.S. 224, 112 S.Ct. 534, 116 L.Ed.2d 589 (1991)	20
9	Iacobucci v. Boulter, 193 F.3d 14 (1st Cir. 1999)	11
10	Kelly v. Borough of Carlisle, 622 F.3d 248 (3d Cir. 2010)	11-13
11	Klen v. City of Loveland, 661 F.3d 498 (10th Cir. 2011)	5
12	Lackey v. County of Bernalillo, 1999 WL 2461, at 3	8
13 14	Laird v. Tatum, 408 U.S. 1 (1972)	22
15	Lambert v. Polk Co., 723 F. Supp. 128 (S.D. Iowa 1989)	7
16	Lee v. Gregory, 363 F.3d 931 (9th Cir. 2004)	13
17	Melear v. Spears, 862 F.2d 1177 (5th Cir. 1989)	19
18	Meese v. Keene, 481 U.S. 465 (1987)	22
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	Mendocino Environmental Center v. Mendocino County, 14 F.3d 457 (9th Cir. 1994)	13,18,19
21	Northington v. Marin, 102 F3d 1564 (10th Cir. 1996)	18
22	Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia, 448 U.S. 555 (1980)	6
23	Romero v. Fay, 45 F.2d 1472 (10th Cir. 1995)	18, 20
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Secretary of State of Maryland v. Joseph H. Munson Co., 467 U.S. 947 (1984)	21
26	Sloman v. Tadlock, 21 F.3d 1462 (9th Cir. 1994), infra.	5, 13
27	Smith v. City of Cumming, 212 F.2d 1332 (11th Cir. 2000)	7
28	Smith v. United States, 561 F.3d 1090 (10th Cir. 2009)	2, 11
	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' DISMISS	MOTION TO

1	Snell v. Tunnell, 920 F.2d 673 (10th Cir.1990), cert. denied, 499 U.S. 976 (1991)	17
2	Szymecki v. Houck, 353 F. App'x 852 (4th Cir. 2009)	6
3	Thomas v. Carpenter, 881 F.2d 828 (9th Cir.1989) cert. denied, 494 U.S.	
4	1028, 110 S.Ct. 1475, 108 L.Ed.2d 612 and cert. denied, 497 U.S. 1003, 110 S.Ct. 3236, 111 L.Ed.2d 747 (1990)	7
5	Tobey v. Napolitano, 808 F. Supp. 2d 830 (E.D. Va. 2011)	19
6	United States v. Dickinson, 465 F.2d 496 (5th Cir. 1972)	17
7 8	United States v. Hensley, 469 U.S. 221, 105 S.Ct. 675, 83 L.Ed.2d 604 (1985)	19
9	United States v. Moore, 483 F.2d 1361 (9th Cir.1973)	17
10	<i>United States v. Sherman</i> , 581 F.2d 1358 (9th Cir. 1978)	6
11	Whiteley v. Warden, 401 U.S. 560, 91 S.Ct. 1031, 28 L.Ed.2d 306 (1971)	19
12		
13	Worrell v. Henry, 219 F.3d 1197 (10th Cir. 2000)	5, 8
14	Wright v. Georgia, 373 U.S. 284, 83 S.Ct. 1240, 10 L.Ed.2d 349 (1963)	17, 22
15	York v. City of Las Cruces, 523 F.3d 1205 (10th Cir. 2008)	10
16	Codes:	
17	18 U.S.C. § 111	17
18	42 USC §1983	18, 21
19	FRCP 12(b)(6)	3
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		
27		
28		